

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
12/14/2001	Ernest Ndzebet	780396.91551	1340
08/19/2004		EXAMINER	
n LLP		CANTELMO	O, GREGG
1 South Pinckney Street		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
701-2113		1745	
	08/19/2004 1 LLP Street	08/19/2004 1 LLP Street	08/19/2004 EXAM  1 CANTELMO  LLP  Street ART UNIT

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/020,685	NDZEBET, ERNEST			
ration, riodon	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregg Cantelmo	1745			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
<ul> <li>1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.</li> <li>2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:</li> </ul>					
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);					
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or					
(d) $oxed{oxed}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .					
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	· · · ———				
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Notes in item 2 for reasons.					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>16 and 17</u> .  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
10. Other:	(-)				
	Try Cars 8/18/04	Gregg Cantelmo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1745			

Try Cants 8/18/04

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's response has not furnished clear and convincing evidence to support the position regarding the term non-polymeric oxazoline. The term oxazoline as used in the specification lacks sufficient disclosure to limit only to non-polymeric oxazolines. Furthermore this issue raises a potential rejection to scope of enablement to claim 9. Claim 9 does not expressly recite the term non-polymeric, if the specification is only limited to non-polymeric oxazolines, as argued by applicant, then the scope of claim 9 would appear to extend beyond that which Applicant is entitled to.

While the after final amendment has not been entered, applicant's responses in items II, III and V would appear to overcome the rejections and/or objections associated with each particular issue. Note that since the amendment has not been entered, the changes set forth in the proposed amendment have not been entered yet and thus these objections and rejections stand.

2